1 Catalog Description

COMP/EECE 7012 - Fndtns/Software Engr (3)
Covers project management; software disciplines (requirements, analysis, design, implementation, testing); software modeling notations; mapping designs to code. Students work in teams to develop a significant software system. PREREQUISITE: COMP 2150 or permission of the instructor.

2 Why This Course?
This course provides students with a foundation in software engineering by covering popular process models and the steps associated with these models. Students work in teams to develop a medium-sized software system using recommended practices. Upon completion of this course, students will be prepared to develop software systems in an industrial setting or to continue graduate study in software engineering.

3 Topics
The course will emphasize the following topics (a subset of the knowledge areas in the Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge\(^1\)):

- Software Requirements
  - including elicitation, specification, and validation
- Software Analysis & Design
  - including principles, methods, patterns, architecture, and notations
- Software Testing
  - including levels, techniques, and measures

\(^1\) http://www.computer.org/portal/web/swebok
• Software Configuration Management
  o … including version control
• Software Project Management
  o … including estimation, planning
• Software Engineering Process
  o … including life-cycle models, assessment and improvement

4 Suggested (but Not Required) Textbooks

• Head First Software Development by Pilone & Miles, O’Reilly Media, 2008.
  o This book supplements the lecture material well.

  http://amzn.com/0136061699
  o Pretty typical software engineering textbook; serves best as a reference.

• Applying UML and Patterns: An Introduction to Object-Oriented Analysis and Design
  http://amzn.com/0131489062
  o Detailed, practical coverage of software analysis and design principles, methods,
    and patterns; a bit overly obsessed with the minutia of Rational Unified Process.

• Head First Design Patterns by Freeman and Freeman, O’Reilly, 2004.
  o Geared toward learners; covers practical aspects of applying software design
    patterns.

5 Evaluation

Grading weights are as follows:

• 40% Team Project
  o Individual Productivity
    ▪ 14% Regular Productivity (7% × 2 iterations)
    ▪ 5% Above and Beyond Productivity
  o Milestones (team)
    ▪ 5% Project Plan Milestone
    ▪ 4% Iteration 1 Milestone
    ▪ 4% Iteration 2 Milestone
    ▪ 8% Final Product
• 36% Exams (18% each)
• 14% Homework and Quizzes
• 10% Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading Scale</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>≥ 97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>91–96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A−</td>
<td>89–90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87–88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>81–86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B−</td>
<td>79–80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77–78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>71–76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C−</td>
<td>69–70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67–68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60–66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>≤ 59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Grading scale.

To convert from percentages to letter grades, see Table 1. I reserve the right to lower the
percentage threshold for letter grades as I see fit (i.e., I may make the grading scale better for you,
but never worse).
5.1 Team Project
The centerpiece of this course is a team software project. Teams of around 5 students will work together to develop a software system for a customer.

*I reserve the right to assign the teams, and to reshuffle them as I see fit.*

Team projects in an educational setting must balance two concerns: (1) the need for students to work together as cohesive teams, and (2) the need for individual accountability. Thus, half of your project grade will be based on your individual productivity and half will be based on what your team is able to accomplish as a whole.

5.1.1 Individual Productivity

5.1.1.1 Regular Productivity
The majority of your individual productivity points are associated with *regular productivity*. Each team member will be assigned certain tasks for each milestone. It is expected that each team member will complete his/her assigned tasks in a timely manner. It is also expected that team members will be continuously productive, and not to put off their work, rushing to slap something together at the last minute. Thus, teams will provide the instructor regular progress reports and teammate evaluations.

5.1.1.2 Above and Beyond Productivity
To achieve the highest grades in the course (A/A+), you will need to go above and beyond the call of duty; thus, your individual productivity grade also accounts for *above and beyond productivity* (aka A&B). Throughout the course, you will have the opportunity to negotiate A&B tasks to do in addition to your regular task assignments. Each A&B task typically earns 1 point. You may negotiate A&B tasks with me at most any time. You can earn as many A&B points as you can negotiate with me, but note that you will need at least 5 A&B points to get full credit. Also, you may not earn more than 2 A&B points in a week. The work you do for A&B points must be of good quality (a slightly higher quality standard than regular work). I may require you to fix A&B work that does not meet this standard.

5.1.1.3 Additional Productivity Policies
- **Milestone Deduction for Unproductiveness**: A student who demonstrates unsatisfactory productivity may also lose points on the associated milestone. This deduction is meant to account for the lack of contribution made by an unproductive team member to the project.
- **Late Work**: You are expected to complete work on schedule, as deadlines are a part of the real world. Work will not be accepted late unless there are extenuating circumstances and prior arrangements are made with me.
- **Limit on weekly A&B earnings**: You may earn a maximum of 2 A&B points per week. This policy is mainly to prevent students from putting off doing A&B work until the very end of the semester, and then flooding the instructor with low-quality work in an 11th-hour attempt to earn more points.

5.1.2 Milestones
Teams will receive one grade for each milestone. Milestones will be evaluated based on criteria, which include the following:

- Quality of artifacts and presentation
- Satisfaction of the customer with the work performed
5.2 Quizzes and Exams
Quizzes and exams will be administered in class and will be closed everything (i.e., closed book, closed note, closed neighbor, etc.).

In general, makeup quizzes/exams will NOT be administered. If you have an extenuating circumstance, you should notify me as soon as possible. Makeups will only be given under extreme circumstances and if I approve the absence before the quiz/exam is given. All excused absences must be documented (e.g., with a doctor’s note).

5.3 Homework
There will be a series of homework assignments that students must complete. These will have hard deadlines, and late submissions will not be accepted.

5.4 Participation
Students are expected to

- arrive on time to class,
- stay until the end of class, and
- participate in the middle.

You will begin the semester with 13 participation points. If I notice that you are missing from class at any time, I will deduct 1 point for that day. At the end of the semester if you have 10 or more points, then you will receive full credit for participation (i.e., you can miss 3 days without penalty); otherwise, you will receive a percentage of your points out of 10 for participation.

Be forewarned:

- I take attendance and/or give a quiz at the beginning of class.
- I like to do lots of in-class activities, so the odds of me noticing your absence on a given day are pretty good.

6 Accommodations for Disabilities
Reasonable and appropriate accommodations will be provided to students with disabilities who present a memo from Disability Resources for Students (http://www.memphis.edu/drs/).

7 Plagiarism/Cheating
Plagiarism or cheating behavior in any form is unethical and detrimental to proper education and will not be tolerated. All work submitted by a student (projects, programming assignments, lab assignments, quizzes, tests, etc.) is expected to be a student's own work. The plagiarism is incurred when any part of anybody else's work is passed as your own (no proper credit is listed to the sources in your own work) so the reader is led to believe it is therefore your own effort. Students are allowed and encouraged to discuss with each other and look up resources in the literature (including the internet) on their assignments, but appropriate references must be included for the materials consulted, and appropriate citations made when the material is taken verbatim.
If plagiarism or cheating occurs, the student will receive a failing grade on the assignment and (at the instructor’s discretion) a failing grade in the course. The course instructor may also decide to forward the incident to the Office of Student Conduct for further disciplinary action. For further information on U of M code of student conduct and academic discipline procedures, please refer to: [http://www.memphis.edu/studentconduct/misconduct.htm](http://www.memphis.edu/studentconduct/misconduct.htm).

### 7.1 Course-Specific Instructions
- Teammates (i.e., members of the same team) may collaborate and share work however they see fit; however, if asked to report what each team member’s contributions were, students must provide honest responses.
- Students from different teams may not collaborate in this way.
- Teammate collaboration is limited to project work, and is not allowed on any other course work (e.g., homeworks, quizzes, exams), unless specifically noted.

### 7.2 TurnItIn (Academic Integrity)
Your written work may be submitted to Turnitin.com, or a similar electronic detection method, for an evaluation of the originality of your ideas and proper use and attribution of sources. As part of this process, you may be required to submit electronic as well as hard copies of your written work, or be given other instructions to follow. By taking this course, you agree that all assignments may undergo this review process and that the assignment may be included as a source document in Turnitin.com’s restricted access database solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism in such documents. Any assignment not submitted according to the procedures given by the instructor may be penalized or may not be accepted at all.