



$$\min_{\Gamma,D} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|x_i - D\gamma_i\|_2^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \forall i \ \|\gamma_i\|_0 \le \kappa$$

$$\min_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} \|x - D\gamma\|_2^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\gamma\|_0 \le \kappa$$

$$D^{t} = \operatorname{argmin}_{D} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| x_{i} - D\gamma_{i} \|_{2}^{2} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{D} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(D^{T} D A^{t}) - \operatorname{Tr}(D^{T} B^{t}) \right)$$

3. Abnormal event detection: Incremental Coding Length (ICL) (Hou and Zhang 2008)

$$= (1 - \alpha^t)\bar{\theta}^{t-1} + \alpha^t \theta^t \qquad \qquad g(x|D) = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_j |\gamma_j|$$

Detection of Unusual Objects, Actions and Events in Streaming Video Surveillance Data **Bonny Banerjee (and students)**

Institute for Intelligent Systems and Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering The University of Memphis



Figure 5: ROC curves for pixel-level criterion on UCSD Ped1 dataset (left) and ROC curves for framelevel criterion on UMN dataset (right).

| Method | EER (Ped1) | RD (Ped1) | EER (Ped2) | RD (Ped2) |
|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Ours | 19.8 | 69.5 | 22.3 | 67.5 |
| H-MDT | 17.8 | 75 | 18.5 | 70 |
| Sparse | 19 | 46 | Х | Х |
| STC | 15 | 73 | 13 | 74 |
| MPPCA | 35.6 | 23.2 | 35.8 | 22.4 |
| Force flow | 36.5 | 40.9 | 35 | 27.6 |
| LMH | 38.9 | 32.6 | 45.8 | 22.4 |

Table 1: Anomaly detection performance on USCD Ped1 and Ped2 datasets.

| Method | AUC | EER |
|-------------------|------|------|
| Ours | 99.5 | 3.65 |
| Chaotic invariant | 99.4 | 5.3 |
| Social force | 94.9 | 12.6 |
| Sparse | 99.6 | 2.8 |
| Local stat. aggr. | 99.5 | 3.4 |
| H-MDT | 99.5 | 3.7 |

 Table 2: Quantitative comparison between

different methods on UMN dataset.

| Method | Dataset | Abnormal events | False alarm |
|------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|
| Ours | Entrance | 60/66 | 5 |
| | Exit | 19/19 | 2 |
| STC | Entrance | 61/66 | 4 |
| | Exit | 19/19 | 2 |
| MPPCA | Entrance | 57/66 | 6 |
| | Exit | 19/19 | 3 |
| Dynamic SC | Entrance | 60/66 | 5 |
| | Exit | 19/19 | 2 |
| Sparse | Entrance | 27/31 | 4 |
| | Exit | 9/9 | 0 |

Table 3: Performance of different methods on the Subway dataset.



THE UNIVERSITY OF

MEMPHIS.

Dreamers, Thinkers, Doers,

Conclusion

- A rarity based approach for anomaly detection in streaming videos was proposed.
- A dictionary of atoms was learned from the data in an unsupervised manner using an online sparse coding framework.
- While learning, the rarity of atoms was approximated online using ICL and anomaly score for an input was computed as the sum, over all atoms, of the average energy multiplied by absolute coefficients.
- No prior assumption was made regarding the data or nature of anomaly and the online operation of the proposed method allows it to deal with varying data distribution and is useful to real-time applications.
- The proposed approach was extensively experimented with a number of benchmark datasets and the results are comparable to the state-of-the art.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge support from the City of Memphis and Fedex Institute of Technology.

For enquiries, contact: Bonny Banerjee, bbnerjee@memphis.edu

References

- Dollar, P.; Rabaud, V.; Cottrell, G.; and Belongie, S. 2005. Behavior recognition via sparse spatio-temporal features. In 2nd Joint IEEE International Workshop on Visual Surveillance and Performance Evaluation of Tracking and Surveillance, 2005, 65–72. IEEE.
- Hou, X., and Zhang, L. 2008. Dynamic visual attention: Searching for coding length increments. In NIPS, 681–688.
- Mairal, J.; Bach, F.; Ponce, J.; and Sapiro, G. 2010. Online learning for matrix factorization and sparse coding. Journal of Machine Learning Research 11:19– 60.
- Rubinstein, R.; Zibulevsky, M.; and Elad, M. 2008. Efficient implementation of the k-svd algorithm using batch orthogonal matching pursuit. CS Technion.